## SHEFFIELD CITY COUNCIL

## **EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONS DECISION RECORD**

The following decisions were taken on Thursday 10 January 2013 by the South Community Assembly.

Date notified to all members: Monday, 14 January 2013

The end of the call-in period is 4:00 pm on Friday, 18 January 2013

The decision can be implemented from Monday, 21 January 2013

#### **Decision 1**

# Agenda Item 7

# 7, PETITION - PARKING PROBLEMS ON HAUGHTON ROAD, WOODSEATS

- 1.1 The Director of Development Services submitted a report on the receipt of a petition requesting the Council to take action in connection with parking problems on Haughton Road, Woodseats. The petition, which contained 104 signatures, had been submitted to the Council meeting on 2<sup>nd</sup> November 2011 and noted by the Cabinet Highways Committee at its meeting held on 8<sup>th</sup> December 2011.
- 1.2 **RESOLVED:** That the Community Assembly:-
  - (a) requests that its thanks be conveyed to the petitioners for bringing their concerns to the attention of the Council:
  - (b) agrees that the request to narrow the footways on Haughton Road cannot be funded through it's Highways Schemes Budget due to the substantial costs involved; and
  - (c) requests (i) the Council's Highways PFI Opportunities Team to look at whether the issues raised by the petitioners could be addressed as an opportunity under the Streets Ahead project and (ii) that the petition organiser is advised of its decision.

# 1.3 Reasons for Decision

The cost of narrowing the footways on Haughton Road would far exceed the current highway funds available to the Community Assembly.

# 1.4 Alternatives Considered and Rejected

1.4.1 Pavement parking was an ongoing issue across all parts of the City. One solution was to formalise the existing practice of parking with two wheels on

the footway and two wheels on the road. This would be one way of addressing the concerns raised in the petition. However, the cost of this at Haughton Road would include £15,000 for the kerbing works, plus sums for the relocation of the BT poles, lamp columns and underground service protection/diversion works. Again, this would fall beyond the scope of the Community Assembly's highways budget.

- 1.4.2 Highways officers were preparing a report for the Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee about pavement parking issues, based partly on a pilot project a few years ago. On Derbyshire Lane, in 2009, an Experimental Traffic Order was promoted to allow a short length of pavement parking. Bays were marked and vehicles could park entirely on the footway, whilst leaving adequate space behind for pedestrian movement. Being a trial, the kerbing was not amended, leaving vehicles to bump up to a vertical face. Additionally, the footway was not strengthened to prevent potential damage to underground services. During the trial, no objections were received and the arrangement was made permanent in December 2009. No retrospective changes were made to the road layout in making the arrangement permanent.
- 1.4.3 The petitioners have suggested that the cost of the footway narrowing scheme could be offset by selling the reclaimed kerbs. Amey are responsible for all highway maintenance works in the City and the benefits associated with the disposal of any stone kerbs would be theirs.
- 1.5 Any Interest Declared or Dispensation Granted

None declared.

1.6 Reason for Exemption if Public/Press Excluded During Consideration

Not applicable.

1.7 Respective Director Responsible for Implementation

Simon Green, Executive Director, Place Portfolio

1.8 Relevant Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee If Decision Called In

The relevant Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee to consider a call-in of the decision would be, in this instance, the Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee.

#### **Decision 2**

# Agenda Item 8

#### 2. SECTION 106 AGREEMENTS - UPDATE

- 2.1 The Head of Parks and Countryside submitted a report on the proposed allocation of open space Section 106 funds in the South Community Assembly area.
- 2.2 **RESOLVED:** That the Community Assembly:-
  - (a) notes the contents of the report now submitted; and
  - (b) approves the allocation of the open space Section 106 Agreement funds as follows:-

<u>Development Site</u>	<u>Project Site</u>	<u>Value</u>
Unit A Centenary Works 150 Little London Road	Barbers Field	£9,250
285-317 Psalter Lane	Endcliffe Park	£5,562
Land at 26-30 Meadowhead Avenue	Barbers Field	£3,337

#### 2.3 Reasons for Decision

Section 106 Agreement funds need to be allocated to projects as soon as possible so that design and procurement work can commence. Developers may make a case for repayment if they can demonstrate that there are no plans to spend the money.

## 2.4 Alternatives Considered and Rejected

There are various open space sites in the vicinity of some of the Section 106 Agreements. The sites suggested are those which are thought to be priority sites within the Community Assembly area.

# 2.5 Any Interest Declared or Dispensation Granted

None declared.

## 2.6 Reason for Exemption if Public/Press Excluded During Consideration

Not applicable.

# 2.7 Respective Director Responsible for Implementation

Simon Green, Executive Director, Place Portfolio

# 2.8 Relevant Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee If Decision Called In

The relevant Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee to consider a call-in of the decision would be, in this instance, the Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee.